Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in



PSIC Solling Manager Control of the Miller Manager Control of the

Shri Surinder Kumar, S/o Shri Bhagwan Dass, Partap Nagar Welfare Society, Ward No.8, Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian District Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies, Amritsar.

....Respondents

AC No.2999 of 2020

Present: (i) Appellant- absent

(ii) Shri Ramesh Kumar, Inspector O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur, on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER:

(Heard through Cisco Webex/On Mobile Phone)

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 11.01.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
- 2. The appeal case has been heard today through Cisco Webex. Shri Surinder Kumar, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing through Cisco Webex.
- 3. Shri Ramesh Kumar, Inspector, Municipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian comes present to attend the hearing through Cisco Webex. He states that the information has been provided to the appellant on the spot when he visited the office of PIO for inspection of records and an affidavit dated 18.03.2021 has also been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 19.03.2021, with a copy to the Commission.
- 4. Shri Surinder Kumar, appellant is contacted on mobile phone. He admits the receipt of information as also the affidavit from the PIO. However, he raises objection that the affidavit has been got attested from Notary Public at Amritsar whereas it should have been from the Notary Public at Gurdaspur.
- 5. The Bench, on perusal of the affidavit dated 18.03.2021, observes that the same is correct & in consonance with directions of the Bench. The Bench also turns downs the objection raised by the appellant with regard to the attestation of the affidavit.



-2- <u>AC No.2999/2020</u>

6. In view of the above, the Bench observes that no further intervention of the Bench is required. **The appeal case is disposed off and closed.** The copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 12.04.2021

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - <u>psic26@punjabmail.gov.in</u>

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline NO.0172-2864100 (10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Surinder Kumar, S/o Shri Bhagwan Dass, Partap Nagar Welfare Society, Ward No.8, Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council,Fatehgarh Churian District Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies, Amritsar.

....Respondents

AC No.3011 of 2020

Present: (i) Appellant- absent

(ii) Shri Ramesh Kumar, Inspector O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council,

Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur, on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER:

(Heard through Cisco Webex/On Mobile Phone)

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 11.01.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
- 2. The appeal case has been heard today through Cisco Webex. Shri Surinder Kumar, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing through Cisco Webex.
- 3. Shri Ramesh Kumar, Inspector, Municipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian comes present to attend the hearing through Cisco Webex. He states that the information has been provided to the appellant on the spot when he visited the office of PIO for inspection of records and an affidavit dated 18.03.2021 as also the point-wise information has also been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 22.03.2021, with a copy to the Commission.
- 4. Shri Surinder Kumar, appellant is contacted on mobile phone. He admits the receipt of information as also the affidavit from the PIO. However, he raises objection that the affidavit has been got attested from Notary Public at Amritsar whereas it should have been from the Notary Public at Gurdaspur.
- 5. The Bench, on perusal of the affidavit dated 18.03.2021, observes that the same is correct & in consonance with the directions of the Bench. The Bench also turns downs the objection raised by the appellant with regard to the attestation of the affidavit.



-2- <u>AC No.3011/2020</u>

6. In view of the above, the Bench observes that no further intervention of the Bench is required. **The appeal case is disposed off and closed.** The copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 12.04.2021

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - <u>psic26@punjabmail.gov.in</u>

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline NO.0172-2864100 (10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Surinder Kumar, S/o Shri Bhagwan Dass, Partap Nagar Welfare Society, Ward No.8, Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council,Fatehgarh Churian District Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies, Amritsar.

....Respondents

AC No.3010 of 2020

Versus

Present: (i) Appellant- absent

(ii) Shri Ramesh Kumar, Inspector O/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur, on behalf of the PIO.

ORDER:

(Heard through Cisco Webex/On Mobile Phone)

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 11.01.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
- 2. The appeal case has been heard today through Cisco Webex. Shri Surinder Kumar, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing through Cisco Webex.
- 3. Shri Ramesh Kumar, Inspector, Municipal Council, Fatehgarh Churian comes present to attend the hearing through Cisco Webex. He states that the information has been provided to the appellant on the spot when he visited the office of PIO for inspection of records and an affidavit dated 18.03.2021 has also been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 19.03.2021, with a copy to the Commission.
- 4. Shri Surinder Kumar, appellant is contacted on mobile phone. He admits the receipt of information as also the affidavit from the PIO. However, he raises objection that the affidavit has been got attested from Notary Public at Amritsar whereas it should have been from the Notary Public at Gurdaspur.
- 5. The Bench, on perusal of the affidavit dated 18.03.2021, observes that the same is correct & in consonance with direction of the Bench. The Bench also turns downs the objection raised by the appellant with regard to the attestation of the affidavit.



-2- <u>AC No.3010/2020</u>

6. In view of the above, the Bench observes that no further intervention of the Bench is required. **The appeal case is disposed off and closed.** The copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 12.04.2021

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in



Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri Shakti Bhushan S/o Shri Kewal Krishan Kaushal R/o Street Bhaini Sahib, Ward No.8, Dhanaula, District Barnala. PSIC Cossillation of the Information of the Informa

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

Motor Vehicle Registration Authority, Moga.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Faridkot.

....Respondents

AC No.1089 of 2020

Present: (i) Appellant- absent.

(ii) Mrs. Usha Rani, Superintendent/PIO O/o Motor Vehicle Registration Authority,

Moga.

ORDER

(Heard through Cisco Webex/Mobile Phone)

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 12.01.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous date of hearing.
- 2. The case has been heard today through Cisco Webex. The appellant Shri Shakti Bhushan has not come present to attend the hearing through Cisco Webex.
- 3. Smt. Usha Rani, Superintendent O/o Motor Vehicle Registration Authority, Moga attends the hearing through Cisco Webex. She states that the information as available in the official records has been provided to the appellant on 10.11.2020 through R.A.R. file (1 to 150 pages) and a fresh affidavit dated 09.04.2021 has also been sent to the appellant by registered post today.
- 4. Shri Shakti Bhushan, appellant is contacted on mobile phone. He states that he has received only partial information.
- 5. Post deliberations, the Bench apprises the appellant that as per version of PIO, information comprising 150 pages has been supplied to him and an affidavit has also been filed by the PIO as per directions given by the Bench to the effect that information as available in the official records has been provided. He is apprised that the affidavit filed by the PIO is in order and, as stated by the PIO, the original affidavit has been sent by registered post to him today only.



-2- <u>AC No.1089/2020</u>

6. In view of the above, as the information as available in the official records stands supplied to the appellant, no further intervention of the Bench is required. **The appeal case is disposed off and closed.**Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 12.04.2021

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri Nitin Kumar Garg C/o Police Public Dairy, 15-A, Shastri Nagar, Model Town, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information OfficerO/o The Assistant Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation,
Pathankot.

Public Information Officer O/o Improvement Trust, Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Pathankot.

....Respondents

AC No.2428 of 2020

Present: (i) Shri Nitin Kumar Garg, appellant in person before the Bench.

(ii) Shri Ashwani Sharma, Superintendent/PIO, Municipal Corporation, Pathankot in person before the Bench.

ORDER

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 12.01.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
- 2. The appeal case has been heard today in Commission's Office at Chandigarh. Shri Nitin Kumar Garg comes present in person before the Bench. He states that he has received the information from the Municipal Corporation, Pathankot as also from the PIO O/o E.O., Improvement Trust, Pathankot. He shows a copy of the plan obtained by him from the Improvement Trust, Pathankot, according to which, he points out a site shown vacant in the plan, but he states that in reality, this site is not vacant and a structure is existing on it. He, therefore, wants in writing as to whether this site has been sold to somebody by the Municipal Corporation, Pathankot or by Improvement Trust, Pathankot.
- 3. Shri Ashwani Sharma, Superintendent/PIO, Municipal Corporation, Pathankot attends the hearing in person before the Bench. He states that the information, as regards the Municipal Corporation, Pathankot, has been supplied to the appellant and regarding other information, the matter was transferred to Improvement Trust, Pathankot.

Cont..P-2



-2-

AC No.2428/2020

- 4. Post deliberations, the Bench clarifies to the appellant that since the information as existing in the official records stands supplied. No further intervention of the Bench is needed. Providing an undertaking by the respondent as desired by the appellant does not come within the purview of RTI Act.
- 5. In view of the above, **the appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 12.04.2021

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Jagdeep Singh S/o Shri Atma Singh, Village Matoi, PO Malerkotla, District Sangrur.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o General Manager,

Pepsu Road Transport Corporation. Sangrur.

Public Information Officer

O/o General Manager. Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Bathinda.

Public Information Officer

O/o General Manager, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority-cum-

O/o Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, H.O. Patiala.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2378 of 2020

PRESENT: (i) Shri Jagdeep Singh, appellant.

Shri Harkanwal Singh, Senior Assistant/APIO O/o PRTC Sangrur. (ii)

Shri Raman Sharma, G.M./PIO, PRTC, Bathinda. (iii)

None on behalf of PIO O/o G.M. PRTC, Faridkot. (iv)

ORDER:

(Heard through Cisco Webex)

- 1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 12.01.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
- 2. The appeal case has been heard today through Cisco Webex. Shri Jagdeep Singh, appellant comes present to attend the hearing through Cisco Webex. He states that he has received requisite information from PIO O/o PRTC Sangrur. He further states that though he has received amount of arrears from Faridkot Depot, but the PIO has not given information regarding the total amount of arrears due. He further clarifies that PRTC Depot, Bathinda has not provided any inputs.
- 3. Shri Raman Sharma, G.M. PRTC Bathinda/PIO comes present to attend the hearing through Cisco Webex. He states that the matter relates to their office and is regarding the grant of 24 years increment to the appellant and the information in this regard has been sent to G.M. PRTC, Sangrur wherefrom the appellant had retired. Thus they are the nodal agency for this appeal case and providing information to the Cont...P-2 appellant.

PSIC Siller Mormation

-2-

AC No.2378/2020

- 4. Shri Harkanwal Singh, Senior Assistant, O/o G.M. PRTC Sangrur comes present to attend the hearing through Cisco Webex. He clarifies that the main issue of the appellant relates to the revision of his pension after grant of 24 years' increment and they have already sent the case of revision of pension of the appellant to Head office of P.R.T.C. Patiala and the details regarding file no. etc. have been shared with the appellant.
- 5. The Bench, on hearing the representative of PIO, O/o G.M. PRTC Sangrur as also the G.M. of PRTC, Bathinda, observes that the requisite information stands supplied to the appellant. The appellant is advised to file a separate RTI application in case he desires to know the status of case regarding revision of pension or regarding the manner in which arrears have been calculated by the office of G.M., P.R.T.C., Faridkot. Information otherwise as sought in the present RTI application stands provided
- 6. In view of the above, **appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 12.04.2021